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# Choice in a Time of COVID: Immediate Enrollment Decisions in New York City and Detroit 

Report forthcoming in partnership with REACH
Sarah A. Cordes, Sarah Winchell Lenhoff, Amy Ellen Schwartz, Jeremy Singer, and Samantha Trajkovski

## Motivation

- Sudden \& massive educational disruptions from COVID-19 altered school choice decisions for families
- Concerns about availability \& safety of pupil transportation-such as school buses-may have made families more likely to choose zoned school
- Expansion of transit-free choice in the form of fully online education may have offered some families the ability to access high-quality schools further from home
- Mobility, on average, has negative effects on students


## In this study...

- Explore school choice in a time of COVID-19, focusing on immediate enrollment decisions in Fall 2020
- Use school- and student-level data in Detroit to examine post-pandemic changes in:
- Enrollment patterns across traditional public \& charter schools
- Student exit, entry, and mobility
- Shed light on the extent to which COVID-19 may have exacerbated inequitable access to choice and/or high quality schools.


## Detroit Context

## Detroit

- About 100,000 students attending $\sim 170$ schools in Detroit \& over 450 schools in the suburbs
- Racially \& socioeconomically isolated
- Many choice options
- Zoned school
- Other students' zoned schools
- Magnet/selective schools
- Charter schools (in Detroit and surrounding suburban districts)
- Suburban traditional public school


## Data and Sample

Detroit data through CEPI P-20 Longitudinal Data System

- Student-level administrative data: school attended and residential location for TPS \& charter school students, 2015-2021
- Sample: K-8 excluding students in alternative and special education schools


## Methods

We plot enrollments, entry and exit rates, and mobility rates for each year from 2015 to 2021 and examine whether there is a change in the general trends for these outcomes post-COVID.
Next, we estimate the causal impact of COVID on student mobility using the following model:

$$
\text { Yiglt }=\beta 0+\delta \text { POSTCOVID }+\beta 1 \text { STUDCHARit + } \mathrm{ll}+\theta \mathrm{g}+\lambda t+\varepsilon \text { iglt }
$$

where $Y$ is a measure of mobility for student $i$, in grade $g$, in location $I$, in year $t$, which includes any school move, structural moves, or non-structural moves, POSTCOVID is an indicator equal to 1 in 2021, STUDCHAR is a vector of student characteristics including gender, race/ethnicity, disability status, English language classification and poverty indicators, $Y$ are census tract fixed effects, $\theta$ are grade effects, $\lambda$ are year effects, and $\varepsilon$ is the error term. In these models, the main coefficient of interest is $\delta$, which captures differences in mobility in the post-COVID period.
We then re-estimate our models both controlling for residential mobility and including an interaction between residential mobility and the post-COVID period.

## Detroit Student Enrollment has Slowly Declined, DPSCD and Charter Shares Stable



## Entry rates decreased 5.2 pp; No changes in exit rate



Note: Entry rate is the percent of students enrolled in year $t$ who were not enrolled in year t-1. All kindergartners not repeating a grade are counted as entrants. NYC sample excludes students ever enrolled in charter schools.

Detroit Exit as Share of Population


Note: Entry rate is the percent of students enrolled in year t who were not enrolled in year t-1. All kindergartners not repeating a grade are counted as entrants.

Detroit, School Mobility by Types


Non-structural mobility declined substantially in 2020-21.

| Non-Structural Moves | A | B | C | D | After COVID, students in Detroit |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Post COVID | -0.159*** | -0.173*** | $-0.152^{* * *}$ | -0.111*** |  |  |
|  | (0.002) | (0.002) | (0.002) | (0.002) |  |  |
| Residential Move |  |  | 0.323*** | 0.355*** | were 17.3 |  |
|  |  |  | (0.002) | (0.002) | percentage points less likely to make non-structural moves |  |
| Post COVID*Res Move |  |  |  | -0.243*** |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | (0.004) |  |  |
| Grade FE | X | X | X | X | (86.5\% reduction). |  |
| Census Tract FE |  | X | X | X |  |  |
| Observations | 397,766 | 386,427 | 378,084 | 378,084 |  |  |

Notes: All models include controls for race, gender, English language learner, disability status, free or reduced lunch, and year effects. Post COVID =1 in AY 2020-21. Sample includes TPS students in grades 1-8, including those in ungraded special education. Models including residential move exclude observations missing residential location in year t or t-1. Students ever enrolled in D75, ever enrolled in a charter school, or those missing residential location in year $t$ are excluded in columns 1-4. Students enrolled in alternative schools, special education centers, and strict discipline academies are excluded in columns 5-8. Robust standard errors in parentheses

Non-structural mobility decreased more among economically disadvantaged students-an additional 4.1 percentage points in Detroit compared to non-ED students.

Decreases in nonstructural mobility were significantly less for non-Black compared to Black students.
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## Discussion

- No changes in enrollment trends
- Enrollment in both charters and TPS sectors relatively stable
- Entry rates decreased across all grades, especially kindergarten
- No change in exit rates
- Non-structural mobility decreased, no change in the percent of students moving to better or worse schools
- Survey data suggest that mobility will revert to normal levels

Detroit Families' Experiences with COVID-19 and School Attendance
EdWorkingPaper, Annenberg Institute at Brown University
Sarah Winchell Lenhoff and Jeremy Singer

## Motivation

- Major concerns about student attendance nationwide
- Attendance has a nearly linear relationship with student achievement, and chronic absenteeism is a symptom of problems throughout students' ecosystems
- Chronic absenteeism in Detroit already highest in the country among urban districts before the pandemic
- Structural barriers to attendance may be reduced through online learning, although new barriers may be introduced because of digital divide


## In this study...

- Explore student attendance during the 2020-21 school year
- Use student-level data to examine post-pandemic changes in attendance patterns, combined with survey and qualitative data to identify mechanisms
- Shed light on the extent to which COVID-19 may have exacerbated inequitable access to school through attendance


## Data and Methods

Detroit data through partnership with DPSCD

- Student-level administrative data: daily attendance, demographics 2018-19 to 2020-21
- Survey data linked to administrative records: Parent survey administered in June 2021 to a random sample of students at DPSCD neighborhood and app/exam schools
- We ran a series of stepwise OLS regressions to estimate the associations between student characteristics, socioeconomic circumstances, and COVID-19 experiences (independent variables) and the percent of days absent in 2020-21 (dependent variable).
- We also ran linear probability regression models with the same independent variables and chronic absence status (greater than or equal to $10 \%$ days absent) as the binary dependent variable.


## Most DPSCD families faced significant economic, mental health, and logistical hardship during the pandemic.

|  | Weighted <br> Mean |
| :--- | :--- |
| Any Parent Worked More during COVID | 0.13 |
| Any Parent Worked Fewer Hours/Lost Job during COVID | 0.64 |
| All Parents Worked Fewer Hours/Lost Job during COVID | 0.39 |
| Evicted during COVID | 0.09 |
| Family Member Sick or Died of COVID | 0.36 |
| Mental Health Challenges during COVID | 0.60 |
| Financial Challenges during COVID | 0.56 |
| Logistical Challenges during COVID | 0.54 |


| Often/Always Reason for <br> Absences During COVID | N | Weighted <br> Mean |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Lack of Transportation | 770 | 0.12 |
| Child's Health | 762 | 0.16 |
| Parent's Health | 760 | 0.13 |
| Child Refused | 759 | 0.13 |
| Computer Issues | 771 | 0.39 |
| Internet Issues | 766 | 0.30 |
| Log-on Issues | 767 | 0.06 |
| Issues with Teachers | 765 | 0.09 |
| Issues with Other Students | 764 | 0.03 |

70\% of DPSCD students were chronically absent in the 2020-21 school year, compared to 62\% in 2018-19.

Distribution of Attendance Rates in DPSCD 2020-21



DPSCD Average Daily Attendance Before and During COVID-19
Pandemic


## Major SES differences between severely chronically absent students and others

|  | Not Chronically Absent (30\% <br> of students) | Moderately Chronically <br> Absent <br> $(\mathbf{1 6 \%}$ of students) | Severely Chronically Absent <br> $(\mathbf{5 4 \%}$ of students) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of Children | $2.50^{3}$ | 2.75 | $3.00^{1}$ |
| Number of Adults | $1.93^{3}$ | $1.94^{3}$ | $1.69^{12}$ |
| Single Parent/Guardian | $44 \%^{23}$ | $60 \%^{13}$ | $75 \%^{12}$ |
| Household Income | $\$ 37,224^{3}$ | $\$ 30,097^{3}$ | $\$ 18,521^{12}$ |
| Income-to-poverty | $137 \%^{3}$ | $111 \%^{3}$ | $67 \%^{12}$ |

Computer issues, family SES significantly associated with percent days absent, chronic absenteeism.

## Parent-reported COVID challenges not significantly associated with attendance.

|  | (1) <br> Pct. Days <br> Absent | $(2)$ <br> Pct. Days <br> Absent | (3) <br> Chronically <br> Absent | (4) <br> Chronically <br> Absent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Family SES | $-0.03^{* *}$ | -0.01 | $-0.07^{* *}$ | $-0.05^{*}$ |
| Income-to-Poverty | $-0.07^{*}$ | -0.05 | -0.04 | -0.03 |
| Any Parent Full-Time | $0.08^{*}$ | 0.05 | $0.12^{* *}$ | 0.08 |
| Single Parent | 0.09 | 0.11 | $0.13^{* *}$ | $0.13^{*}$ |
| Evicted in 2020-21 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.06 |
| COVID-19 Challenges | -0.03 | -0.01 | 0.02 | 0.00 |
| Health | -0.01 | 0.00 | -0.01 | 0.00 |
| Mental Health | -0.03 | -0.04 | -0.04 | -0.06 |
| Logistics | $-0.08^{* *}$ | $-0.09^{* * *}$ | $-0.08^{*}$ | -0.06 |
| Financial |  |  |  |  |
| Online Instruction Only | 0.05 | 0.06 | 0.09 | 0.08 |
| Computer Issues | $0.10^{* *}$ | 0.06 | $0.15^{* *}$ | $0.13^{*}$ |
| (reference = Never) | $0.20^{* * *}$ | $0.16^{* * *}$ | $0.33^{* * *}$ | $0.29^{* * *}$ |
| Rarely | $0.27^{* * *}$ | $0.22^{* * *}$ | $0.35^{* * *}$ | $0.29^{* * *}$ |
| Sometimes | - | $0.80^{* * *}$ | - | - |
| Often | - | - | - | $0.25^{* * *}$ |
| Always | $0.27^{* * *}$ | $0.16^{* *}$ | $0.54^{* * *}$ | $0.44^{* * *}$ |
| Prior-Year Absences | 0.38 | 0.46 | 0.28 | 0.32 |
| Prior-Year Chronically Absent | 776 | 648 | 776 | 648 |
| Constant |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{R}^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |
| N |  |  |  |  |

[^0]
## Discussion

- Despite major philanthropic investment to provide computers and internet to all students in the district, these efforts were insufficient to ensure that students attended and were engaged in school.
- Students in families who faced greater economic precarity (e.g., lower income-to-poverty ratio, no fully-employed parent, facing eviction) were more likely to be severely chronically absent.
- There were significant SES differences between moderately and severely chronically absent students, suggesting that reducing chronic absenteeism will require social and economic supports beyond what schools alone can provide.
- More data linking SES characteristics with school data would be helpful to more fully investigate these relationships and interventions that may reduce them - and we have some hope in this regard!


## Thank you!


[^0]:    ${ }^{*} p<0.05,{ }^{* *} p<0.01,{ }^{* * *} p<0.001$. Outcome is the percentage of days absent (models 1 and 2 ) or whether a student was chronically absent (i.e., $10 \%$ or more days absent; models 3 and 4). Standard errors are robust. Analytic weights are applied (see Appendix A). Models that include "prior year" measures drop observations that are not observed in the 2019-20 school year ( $\mathrm{N}=128$ ). All models control for race, gender, special education, and grade level.

